

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/016,885	NAKAGAWA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Vitali Korobov	2155	

**All Participants:**

(1) Vitali Korobov.

(2) Mr. Richard Streit.

**Status of Application: 30**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 March 2005

**Time:** afternoon

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 - 23

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner proposed Restriction/Election over the telephone. Mr. Streit stated that he must consult with the applicant before he can make an election and suggested that proposed grouping of claims is presented to him in writing.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)